
Law 28

28-A Wicket put down by striker's bat when he has let go of it.

Law 28 states that the wicket is put down if a bail is removed (etc) by the striker's bat, whether he is holding it or has let go of it. Are there any restrictions on how this applies? For instance if in trying to field the ball a fielder accidentally kicks a dropped bat on to the wicket, thus removing the bails, has the wicket been put down, so that a batsman could be run out.

No. Law 28.1 (ii) is correctly quoted in the question. However, in order for a batsman to be run out, Law 38.1 requires the wicket to be '*fairly put down by the opposing side*'. That is, it insists that a **fielder** has to put down the wicket. Looking at Law 28.1 as a whole, it can be analysed as saying that the wicket is put down if a bail is completely by

the ball; this implies the ball **on its own**. There is no restriction on how it is propelled on to the wicket. If the ball alone hits the wicket and dislodges a bail or stump, the wicket has been put down.

the striker *through the agency of his bat or person*, attached to him or detached from him. He could be out Hit wicket, if **he** or part of him, broke the wicket, rather than the **ball** breaking it.

a fielder *through the agency of the ball providing he is holding it or through the agency of a hand or arm providing the ball is in the appropriate hand*.

The last of these is the only way a *fielder* can put down the wicket. A fielder causing the striker's bat to remove the bails does not come within these definitions. It is only if the striker's bat hits the wicket in falling, or if his own movements move the bat on to the stumps that the wicket will have been put down under the terms of this Law.

[Law reference: 28.1, 38.1]
